2007

•

• •

11

• •

2007/7/7

• •

u

••

н

	(		)	-1
 (		)		-2
 (		)		-3

## الإهداء

إلى روح الغالية العزيزة ..... " والدتي " إلى والدي الغالي إلى اخوتي الأعزاء إلى حبيبتي وزوجتي إلى أصدقائي إلى فلسطين وكل الشهداء والأسرى والجرحى

. . .

1	:	
4	:	
5	:	
6)	:	
6:		:
б:		:
7:		:
7:	:	
9:	:	
9:	:	
9:		:
10:		:
11	:	:
12:		:
13:	:	
13:		:
14:		:
15:		:
15:		:
16:	:	
16:	:	
1717:	:	
1817:	:	
201:	:	

23	:	
24	:	
24:	:	
24:		:
26:		
26:		•
28		·
	•	
29:	:	
31:	:	
32:	:	
39:	:	
39		:
39		:
40:		:
42:	:	
44:		:
50:		:
53:		:
54		:
56	:	
61:	:	
62	:	
62		:1
65		:2
66		:
6765:		:
69	:	
70:	:	

	:	
71	70:	
76	:	
77:	:	
775:	:	
77:		:
78:		:
81:	:	
81:		:
86:		:
89:	:	
90:		:
91:		:
93	:	
93		:
95:		:
95:		:
96:		:
101	:	
101:	:	
106:	-	
108	:	
108:	:	
108:		:
109:		:
110		:
111:	:	
115:	:	
118	:	

121	:
124	:

( )

.

.

.

.

. ( )

. . . . . • . .

. . .

	(1	)
٠		

:

•

) <sup>(2)</sup>2001 (3) ( ( : (1) .212 2002

•

12 2002 (2)

.(2001)

2006 (8)

)

(

.

.

.

•

.

.

.

.

.

.



H

.<sup>(1)</sup>1948

ш

.(2)

•

:

1989

(1)

:

:

:

. 1973 p 15 paris themis Rivero j: les libertes publiques .25 2004

(2)

: :()): . : :

.(1)

.<sup>(2)</sup> : . • : :

u

II

.(3)¤

.(4) "

•

: ( ( (1) .45 1992 .360 (2) .623 1985 : (3) .13 2003 : (4)

: : .4

: : .

1980

.(2)

.440 1990

.206

(3)

(9)

.<sup>(1)</sup>"

п

:

.(4)

:

137

(1) (2)

(3) (4) :

.(3)			1/144 1961 <sup>(1)</sup> . <sup>(2)</sup>
	(4)		
	(5)		
1950	(150) <sup>(6)</sup>		
	<b>、</b>		
	.13 .14		: (1) : (2)
	. 14	.5	: (2)
	"petention preventive"		(4)
		petention provisoire	1970
Francois	15 14	:	
		.clerc: 0 1961 ((9	cp. Cit.p63 zirill genvieve (5)
		1950 ( (150	(6)

.

:

. :

. **:** :

. : : "



(2) .

.17 1996

.(1)"

31 30

:

38

•

.

•

.(1)

.40

.

.

(1)

:

:



) ( •

(32)

:

•



(1)

:

:

2005

.

112

: 1-

•

.

(2)

•

2-

(1)

2007/6/15

•

: :

: ((1 .22 ( (2 :

 18.
 ::
 (1)

 47
 :
 (2)

 Le Monde 1/2/1962.
 Marcel Babriel: De quell droit? Libres opinions

 .48
 :
 (3)

 47
 :
 (4)

 Le Monde 1/2/1962.
 Marcel Babriel: De quell droit? Libres opinions

 .48
 :
 (3)

 .47
 :
 (4)

 Le Monde 1/2/1962.
 Marcel Babriel: De quell droit? Libres opinions

 .15
 15

.(3)

:

.(4)

:

## .(1)

: :

(2)

:



:



:

.(1)

. . . .

. (3)

.61 : (1) .106 : (2)

: (3)

.13



.(2)

.(3)

:

:

:

:

.(1)

:

:





.(3)

•

.(4)

.204	1983 -		:	(1)
:	120.		:	(2)
		.81 1990		3
		.98	:	(3)
		.98	:	(4)
		10		

:

:

:

.(4)

.27 : (1) .121 : (2) .121 : (3) .7 6 .30 : (4)

:





и.

.

. : :

.(2)

.(3)

.122 : (1) .134 : : (2) : (3) .26 1998

## 10/12/1948

п

п

".

ш

••

".

II

ш

".(2)

.(1)

## 16/12/1966

(1) : :

(2)

.26



20 18





.27	:	(1)
.136	:	(2)
.27	:	(3)
.137	:	(4)
	15/9/1992.	



.

:

•

(14) 2005 ...". 1971 <sup>(4)</sup> (67) 1952

II

" (7)

.(5)

п

.42 .5 (1) .42 : (2) .46 : (3) .7 : (4) .176 : (5)
(1)

:

:

:

:

:

.(1) .

.

•

26

.(2)

•

.

•

.(3)

.30	1998		:	(1)
		.125	:	(2)
	.295		:	(3)

•

:

•

:

.(2)

•

.(3)

•

.(4)

: (1) .26 : (2) : (3) : (4) .444 1997

.32-31

.9

28



-1

: :

• : :



•

.

(3)

.(2)

.(1)

:

:

30

(2)

-4

-5

(3)



.(2)

:

•

:

•

.(1)

•

•



.144

32

(1)

30.





.194

:

•







II



.(1)





.(2)

## 114/1

•

".

.(1)

1961 9

.(3)

(1)

(2)

: (3)

:

~

.92 1

.298 2005

•

35

123/1

•

.(1)

114

•

.

2001 16 : -1 . -2

) ( -3

: (1)

36



•

65/91



.(2)

.

:

.

:

:

•

.170 1981

(1)

(2)

38

.27

.(1)

	:	:
-		
	:	(1)
	::	(1) (2)

:

.273 1976.

:

.(1)

.(2)

:

•

:

•

\_



:

\_



114

40

.211

.

\_

ш

п

•

•

2

31

.

.(2)

1999					:		(1)
							.8
	301.	31		27/2/1980	49	1445	2).)
		.446				:	
			4	1			

.(2)

-

-

II

**u**(1)

п

••

	112. 25 1	27/1/1959	1). <b>)</b>
	.260		:
1989.		:	(2)
			.226
.284		:	(3)
	42		

II

.(3)

•

.(2)

:

II

.<sup>(1)</sup>

.(1)

.(2)

:

.(5)

".

.(4)

.(3)

II

120

. 139 : (1) .219 : (2) .202 : (3) .202 : (4) .202 : (5)

43



:

. . . . .



•

:

.

•



120

.

.

(119) (105)

108

•

•

".

II

•

:

.

107/1

107/2

.

:



".

51

.(1)

•

:

•

.

II

:

.(1)

.(2)

:

•

•

12/7/2004	26/2004	:	(1)
			.20
15/10 /2005	110/ 2005	:	(2)
	. 30/10	/2005	125/2005
	17		

•

168

\_

-

.

115-129

•

•

(1)

. ((1)

28

30/10/2005 120/2005 168 :

126	35 34		.(1)				201	130
1961	9	114			IJ	111		
					114		". (	)
				.(2)				
	2001	16						
9		114		20		1961	9 1961	
					_			
		.233	.82	10		:		(1) (2)

•

49

.(1)

:

(119)

120/1

15

•

45

•

.

•

:

45



\_



•

\_

(121)

121

•

II

•

•

120/5

121

н

•

- 2007/6/11



\_

302

.(2)

120/1

302

302

•

•

4/3/2006. 48/2005 : . 121 .2001 3 8 •

2001 3 .

2006

(1)

(2)

52

				<u>:</u>
	.(1)			
	119		120	119
				120
150				
152				
	.(2)			
	:			:
			120/2 120	(1)
5/10/2005	.126 110/2005	152	:	(1) (2)
5, 10, 2000	53	.02	·	(2)

11/2/2006	55/2005 54	:	(1)

:

•

.

•

•

.<sup>(1)</sup>



-

\_

•



(108)

•

.(1)



				.(2)			
						(2)	
						.(3)	
		.(4)					
		.(+)					
:	222	168			1931	25	(1)
				.98			
		.49			:		(2)
1995					:		(3)
							.61
		.9			:		(4)
			56				

•

.(1)

(94)

23 22 19

(55)

(127)

•

:

•

:

•

•

(55) (95)

:

:

57

(55) (95)

:

.

(97)

(98)

:

.<sup>(1)</sup>

:

(1)

•

.

•





(1 /97)

.



.(1)

:

:

•

59



:

•

.(1)



: :

.(2)

.(3)

:

: (2)

(1)

: (3)

.19

.19


.(4) :

.(3)

:

:

:

.(2)

.(1)

.(1)

:

.

•

:2

•

:

:

:

.

:

.(1)

(1)

.260

.

•

:

•

:





11/5/1875

.

:

.

.(1)

п

:





.(1)

п

131.

2006 8 7

5

(120)

:

:

•

.

27/9/1953

:

•

.(1)

244. 2005

.

(1)



II

п



н

•

.(3)

.90

.

•

.92

12

.125 (1) : :

(2)

(3)

:



.34 1999 : (1) 69

139

: : .

.(1)

## 110

" :

-1 -2

. -3

: -1

(1)

:



•

.(1)

110/1

:

(2)

.(3)

:

-4

-2

-3

- .109 (1) :
- .220 : .172
- (2) (3) :

•



72

(1)

:

: -5



п

II

•

II







:

:

(2) (3) :

.167

.(1)

## 148 130

•

.

.(2)

· : :

.

.(3)

.458 : (1) .92 : (2) .33 : (3)



.

:

-1

•

.(1)

.(1)

.(2)

.

n

.

.

.(3)

18/2/2004		55/2004	(1)
	55/2004		(2)
47/2005			(3)

21/11/2005 47/2005 :



: :

.

.(1)

.(1)

274

•

:

-1

(1)

".

:

. 2-

•

.482

.



:

396

(396)

: :

.(1)

•

149/2

:

. . .

.(2)

.(

6/1 )

•

:

(1) :

(2) :



.301

82



2006 8

•

:

•

•

:

•

:

/

:

:

.178

.(1)



:



.

: (1)

:

...."



•

119 "

.(1) .

.

•

•

(132).

.(1)

•

•

-1 . -2 . -3 -4

•

:

131

•

2006 8

•

(1)

120/1

• • • •

•

•

•

:

.

:

•



:

•

88











(2)

.

(91) (2)

-3



.(2)





.(3)

: .440 (1) (2) .271 : (3)

:

.211

•

•

90

:



:

108

:

(1)

:



•

.

•

.10

:

2001 30

131

•

.(2)

.(3)

II

".

119

 .10
 :
 (1)

 .12
 :
 (2)

 .13
 :
 (3)







120/1.



93

120/2

•

:

:

120/2

•

•

131

.

:

:



.

.(2)

.

.(3)

		.146			:	(1)
			:			(2)
						.290
2006	9	-		-	6	(3)

:

.(1)

: 1-: 2001 16 123/2

.(2)

	122				:	-2
		2001	16			
	:					
					-	
.423				:		(1)
.421				:		(2)

16

.

.



:

:

.

2001

-

\_

.(1)

II

".

204

-1

: (1)

.422
-2

-3

143

.(151)

.(1)

: (1)



•

. (144)

•

202

144

. /

.143

.6		:		(1)
.29			:	(2)
365.			:	(3)
	99			

:

.(1)

.(3)

:

II

II

.(2)

•

:



.(1)

•

:

.

.(2)

.

-2

-3

.365 : (1) .494 : (2)

.(2)

(3)

•

.(4)

.497	:	(1)
.375	:	(2)
.376	:	(3)
.72	:	(4)
101		

.(1)

•



.(1)

.

II

397

•

.(2) 41

.

482

:

".

 498.
 :
 (1)

 .282
 1954
 :
 (2)

.(1)

.(2)

481

•

•

.(3)

.127 : : (1) .380 : (2) : (3) .74 1932

•

401

:

•

•

.

481

•

481 401

1960 16

:

22/1

22

.

(401).

•

400

.

•

.

.(1)

•

:

.

:

.(2)

:

:

:

(1) (2)

:

.

.793

•

.

120/1

:

324

.

:

:

•

347. 324

:

•

.335

107

(1)

:

—

-

.(3)

.

(2)

347 346

(324)

•

.

.

.17 : (1) 2006/3/29 2006/4 2006/6/4 2006/18 (3)

.2006/3/29 2006/4

.(1) . 1955 1962 .(2) 1966 1955 1957 :(3) (1) .165 : (2) .2005 : (3) .55 2004 : 109

:

-

\_

:



-1

(93) -8



\_

.

•

:

1966

.(1)

(10)

•

-1

-2

-

.(2)

.2005 : (1) .2005 : (2)

:

111

:



(29)

•

.

103 -2

:

124

125

( )

126

.

-4

-3

.

.

126

.

399

.

.

•

-5

399

: :

134

•

143/2

147/1

114

•

:

.

.

.

:

:

-1

-2

:

.

.

134

.(2)

•

.160 159	:	(1)
.161	:	(2)

:

•

•

.(1)

:

:

:

115

## 46/2005

:

:

.(1)

•

.

.

.(2)

475

.

476

		23/10/2005	46/2005	:	(1)
.17	1999			:	(2)

117

•

•

•

•

•

•

:

•

•

.

119

•

•

:

:

•

.

-:

120

•

•

.

:

:

:

:

: : .2005 .2001 (3) .1960 (16) .1950 (150) : : : .1998 .2005 : : .2004 .2003 : : .1985

:

:

:

.2006









.1992

•

.1980

.2003

.1998

:

:

: :

.

: •

:

.1992 :

:

.2005 : .1990 : .1985 : .1946 : .1983 : .1990 : : .1990 : : .1976 :

:

.1997

.1997

.1932

:

:

.1999 .1989

: .1991 :

.2003 :

:

.2002

:

:

.1985

.

.1999 :

.1998 : .1996

> : .1988

> > : .1984



L. Belp: La détention preventive these Montpellier, 1910, p. 10 et es

An-Najah National University Faculty of Graduate Studies

## **Detention in Palestinian Criminal Procedure**

By Mohammad N. Weld Ali

> Advisor Na'el Tahoa

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Masters in Low, Faculty of Graduate Studies, at An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

## Detention in Palestinian Criminal Procedure By Mohammad N. Weld Ali Advisor Na'el Tahoa

## Abstract

This study deals with the preventeve Detention (Detention) in the light of the Code of Palestinian Criminal Procedure and its modified articles including the articles of Remand procedure. The study discussed many subjects are contacted the main topic of this thesis. The study introduced the definition of Remand according the linguistic, scholarly, religiously and legally, despite of the differences between all of the scholarly definitions but they agreed that the main concept of Remand is: Arrest the accused person for a period of time until the end of investigation under the conditions and controls that established by the law.

But because the law used the expressions of remand and arrests, the study used the Remand as the meaning of arresting the accused person for a period of time until the end of investigation under the conditions and controls that established by the law. It is preferable to use one and specific legal terminology to unify the legal procedures between Gaza Strip and West Bank.

This study distinguished between the Remand and the similar procedures such as, the distinct between the administrative detention and Remand in related with the definition, authorized authority and the period. The study talked about the historical developments of the concept of Remand during the ancient Egyptian era, Roman era and Islamic law (Sharea'a) which was and still the most important legislative resource in Palestine. In addition to the international treaties and legitimacy.

In the chapter tow, the study discussed the legal adaptation of Remand through discussion the principle of presumption of innocence which suppose the innocence of the person until proven otherwise, by final pronounced judgment that the person is guilty, then conciliation between all of this and Remand, and the distinct between the Remand and punishment.

The study introduced the justification to arrests some person without final condemnation judgment, some of these justifications are: To calm the public opinion, preventing the accused fleeing, and the preservation of evidences which it might be destroyed or covered by the accused person if he/she stayed free. In addition to the preservation of the accused from the retaliation and to implement the punishment against the accused in case of guilty. In the second part the study discussed the objective conditions of arrest which are: the Crimes that allow executing the arrest against the accused according two categories, first one takes magnitude penalty if the Crime committed a felony or a misdemeanour punishable by more than six months, the criterion of residence which allows the arrest of the offender with unknown residence. The second condition, Presented by the need for a sufficient indications and reasonable reasons for the issuance of arrest warrant. Presented in the third requirement which is the arrest period it shown the standards that explained the length of stay (Remand or arrest) In terms of setting a maximum of arrest, it indicated how long can the jurisdiction Law enforcement and The Public Prosecutor arrest the accused person, The term can Magistrate issues an order as well as the Court of first instance.

It presented at third subsection the Formal conditions to issue the decision of arrest and which authorised authority can issue the warrant of arrest and the necessity of question before the arrests, causing a decision of arrests and the implementation arrest warrant during a certain period, the evidences that contained in the arrest warrant which is The name and description or the position of the issuer of the arrest warrant, the endorsement on the decision by the formal stamp, The details of the accused, The charge that attributed against the accused, The specific article of the legal charge, the period of arrests, the accused address and the Commissioning of The superintendent of the prison to imprison the accused person.

In the third chapter, it presented the end of the arrests or remand by the releasing as shown the definition of release and its considerations, the specialized authority, the types of release which contains, the mandatory release that enforced by law, The Jawazi release which issues by the public prosecutor or the court upon the self initiative or upon the accused request. It explained the Suspension release with guarantee, in addition to the definition of it and its conditions and how could be evaluated. At another subsection, it presented the effects of arrests which including the Counted of arrest period from the final judgement and the opinions of scholars about this. It discussed Re-arrest the accused again but it should be conditioned with causing decision and new situations.

At third subsection, it talked about Control over the legality of the arrest decision that will be by the jurisdiction initiative or upon of the accused request. It presented how the detainee treatment should be and what the rights that should be given for the detainee according the international, Palestinian principles and laws.

At the conclusion, it reached for general conclusions about all this research with some proposals to modify some formulation of some Palestinian articles in the Criminal Procedure law to afford more guaranties for the human beings and individual freedom.